

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	,			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/597,236	06/20/2000	Akihiko Yagasaki	37174:164287	5862
26694 7:	590 07/22/2003			•
VENABLE, E	BAETJER, HOWARI	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3438	₹5			
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			NGUYEN,	TUYEN T
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	*
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

Application No.

09/597,236

Applicant(s)

Yagasaki

0	ffice	Action	Summary
---	-------	--------	---------

Examiner
Tuyen T. Nguyen

Art Unit 2832

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	TO EVEIDE A MONTHYOVEDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause 	•				
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 28,	2003				
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This ac	ction is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>18-27</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>18-27</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.				
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☑ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1. 💢 Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received in Application No				
application from the International Bur					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	he certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	N □ 1. 1. 2				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
- La Processio Statement of the 10-1443 Faper No(s).	of Countries				

Application/Control Number: 09/597,236 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 4/28/03, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.

The office action is set forth below.

• To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scarpa [US 2,817,066].

Scarpa discloses a transformer [figure 5] comprising:

Art Unit: 2832

- primary and second coils [A and B] formed of a plurality of conductors [2, 3] covered with insulating layer [2', 3'];

- a core structure [C]; and
- at least one short-circuit ring [2", 3"] formed by coating the conductors with a conducting layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 18-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McLoughlin [US 4,484,171] in view of Scarpa.

McLoughlin discloses an isolation transformer [see figure 7a] comprising:

- a multi-layer, multi-winding primary coil [24] fabricated by stacking coil layers formed by an insulated covered conductor;
- a multi-layer, multi-winding secondary coil [26] fabricated by stacking coil layers formed by an insulated covered conductor;
 - a core structure [58]; and
 - a conductive short-circuit means [28] disposed the primary and secondary coils.

Application/Control Number: 09/597,236

Art Unit: 2832

McLoughlin discloses the instant claimed invention except for the conductor being formed of insulated, covered copper and the short-circuit means being made of a thin conductive film. sandwiched between the coil layers.

Scarpa discloses a transformer [figure 5] comprising:

- primary and second coils [A and B] formed of a plurality of conductors [2, 3] covered with insulating layer [2', 3'];
 - a core structure [C]; and
- at least one short-circuit ring [2", 3"] formed by coating the conductors with conducting layer.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the wire of Scarpa for the conductors of McLoughlin for the purpose of providing equipotential between the windings.

Regarding claims 19-20, 22-23 and 25-26, Scarpa discloses the conducting layer sandwiched between each or selected coil layers.

Regarding claims 21 and 24, the particular design of the primary and secondary windings would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended application.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

A

Conclusion

Page 5

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Tuyen T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0821.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mr. Elvin Enad, can be reached at (703) 308-7619. The fax number for this Group is (703)872-9318

before the final office action, if the response is after final office action the fax number is (703)872-

9319.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to status of this application of proceeding should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Application/Control Number: 09/597,236

Page 6

Art Unit: 2832

TTN TTN

July 14, 2003

Toughe T. Nguyla